arXiv:1606.00679v3 [math.GR] 20 Mar 2017

Automorphisms and endomorphisms of lacunary hyperbolic groups

Rémi Coulon, Vincent Guirardel

March 21, 2017

Abstract

In this article we study automorphisms and endomorphisms of lacunary hyperbolic groups. We prove that every lacunary hyperbolic group is Hopfian, answering a question by Henry Wilton. In addition, we show that if a lacunary hyperbolic group has the fixed point property for actions on **R**-trees, then it is co-Hopfian and its outer automorphism group is locally finite. We also construct lacunary hyperbolic groups whose automorphism group is infinite, locally finite, and contains any locally finite group given in advance.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Lacunary hyperbolic groups. **3**

3 Structure of the automorphism group **4**
3.1 Lifting morphisms 5
3.2 Actions on trees 6
3.3 Spectrum of the automorphism group 7

4 Hopf and co-Hopf property **8**

5 Embedding locally finite groups **10**

1 Introduction

The asymptotic cones of a finitely generated group G are metric spaces introduced by M. Gromov in his seminal paper on groups with polynomial growth [8]. They are metric spaces obtained as a limit of rescalings of the word metric on G, so that the asymptotic cones provide a view of G obtained by "zooming out to infinity" on G. A group usually has several asymptotic cones (depending on the choice of rescaling parameters or ultra-filter). They capture the large scale geometry of G. For instance, a group is hyperbolic if and only if all its asymptotic cones are **R**-trees [9]. A.Y. Ol'shanksiĭ, D. Osin and M. Sapir used this idea to define a new notion of negative

curvature that extends the class of hyperbolic groups [13]. A finitely generated group G is called *lacunary hyperbolic* if *one* of its asymptotic cone is an $\mathbf{R}$-tree.

Alternatively, a lacunary hyperbolic group G can be described algebraically as a direct limit of δ_k-hyperbolic groups G_k with epimorphisms $G_k \to G$ injective on a ball of radius R_k, so that the ratio R_k/δ_k goes to infinity [13]. This implies in particular that every *finitely presented* lacunary hyperbolic group is actually hyperbolic. However the class of lacunary hyperbolic groups is much larger: it is an uncountable family of finitely generated groups which are quite diverse and contains some rather exotic infinite groups. It contains some infinite torsion groups, some non-abelian groups whose proper subgroups are all cyclic, some elementary amenable groups having at least two non-homeomorphic asymptotic cones, and many groups that served as counterexamples to various questions.

In this paper we study endomorphisms and automorphisms of lacunary hyperbolic groups. Although the class of lacunary hyperbolic groups is very large and wild, we manage to bring to light some general properties of their outer automorphism groups. If G is a hyperbolic group such that $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ is infinite, then the Bestvina-Paulin construction provides a non-trivial action of G on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree [14]. Conversely, this says that if a hyperbolic group G has property (F$\mathbb{R}$) (i.e. every action of G on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree has a fixed point), then $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ is finite. This does not hold for general lacunary hyperbolic groups (see below). However, the following result is reminiscent from this fact.

Theorem (see Corollary 3.11)**.** *Let G be a lacunary hyperbolic group with property* (F$\mathbb{R}$)*. Then* $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ *is locally finite, i.e. every finitely generated subgroup of* $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ *is finite.*

On the other hand, $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ need not be finite. Moreover, there is no restriction on the possible isomorphism type of locally finite groups occurring in $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ (with the only obvious restriction that it should be countable):

Theorem (see Theorem 5.1)**.** *Let A be a countable, locally finite group.*

Then there exists a lacunary hyperbolic group G with property (F$\mathbb{R}$)*, such that* $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ *is locally finite and contains a subgroup isomorphic to A.*

Moreover, given any non-elementary hyperbolic group H, one can take G to be a quotient of H.

Remark 1.1. In particular, if A is infinite, then $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ is not finitely generated.

The group G is constructed using iterated small cancellation in hyperbolic groups, where at each step one ensures that the obtained quotient has more and more automorphisms according to A.

In general, we exhibit a stratification of $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ related to the fact that G is a controlled direct limit of hyperbolic groups (Corollary 3.5). This has some consequences on the collection of stretching factors of automorphisms of G. Fix a finite generating set S of G, and $|\cdot|_S$ the corresponding word metric. Define the *norm* or *stretching factor* $||\Phi||$ of $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(G)$ as

$$\|\Phi\| = \inf_{g\in G} \max_{s\in S} \left|g\varphi(s)g^{-1}\right|_S$$

where $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is any representative of Φ. We then define the *spectrum* $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$ of $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ as the set of all stretching factors of all elements $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(G)$.

We prove that if G has property (F$\mathbb{R}$) and is approximated sufficiently fast by hyperbolic groups then there are large gaps in the spectrum Λ. For instance, if $R_k \geqslant C\delta_k^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 2$ with the

notations above, then there is a sequence of large intervals $I_i = (a_i, b_i)$ in the complement of Λ, with a_i going to infinity, and whose lengths satisfy $|b_i - a_i| \geqslant C' a_i^{\alpha-1}$. Furthermore, if $\alpha > 3$, then for all i large enough, the set of automorphisms such that $\max\{|||\Phi||, ||\Phi^{-1}||\} \leqslant a_i$ forms a (finite) subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}(G)$. See Section 3.3 for more precise statements.

Unfortunately we are not able to describe the structure of the outer automorphism group of a lacunary hyperbolic group G without property (FR). One reason is that although we get an action on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree, our method does not give any control on arc stabilizers, which prevents us from applying Rips theory.

The other part of this work deals with endomorphisms, and specifically with the Hopf and co-Hopf properties. Recall that a group G is *Hopfian* if every surjective endomorphism of G is an isomorphism. Z. Sela proved that every torsion-free hyperbolic group is Hopfian [16]. This result was extended by C. Reinfeldt and R. Weidmann to any hyperbolic group [15].

In [17], Wilton asks about the existence of non-hopfian lacunary hyperbolic groups. As pointed out by Cornulier in [17], there exists a direct limit of hyperbolic groups which is non-hopfian. A more elaborate construction by Ivanov-Storozhev yields torsion-free examples that are even free groups in an appropriate variety [10]. Maybe surprisingly, the following result answers Wilton's question by the negative.

Theorem (see Theorem 4.3)**.** *Every lacunary hyperbolic group G is Hopfian.*

Being Hopfian is a consequence of being equationally Noetherian. Recall that a group G is *equationally Noetherian* if any system of equations has the same set of solutions as a finite subsystem. Most of the groups that are known to be Hopfian are in fact equationally Noetherian. Our result provides example of Hopfian groups that are not equationally Noetherian (see Theorem 4.4).

Dually, a group G is *co-Hopfian* if every injective endomorphism of G is an isomorphism. A hyperbolic group is co-Hopfian as soon as it does not split as an amalgamated product or an HNN-extension over a finite group [16], see [11] in presence of torsion. For the class of lacunary hyperbolic groups we have the following statement.

Theorem (see Theorem 4.5)**.** *Every lacunary hyperbolic group with property* (FR) *is co-Hopfian.*

Most of the results above rely on the following important observation. Write G as a direct limit of hyperbolic groups G_k as above. In any direct limit of finitely presented groups, any endomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to G$ can be lifted to a morphism $G_k \to G_l$ for all k and all l larger than some l_k. Here, we claim that φ can be lifted to an endomorphism $G_k \to G_k$ for k large enough. This is proved using the fact that, being δ_k-hyperbolic, G_k admits a presentation whose relations have length at most $10\delta_k$, and using that the radius of injectivity of $G_k \to G$ grows faster than δ_k.

Acknowledgement. We would like to warmly thank Henry Wilton for informing us about the question he asked in [17]. The second author acknowledge support from ANR-11-BS01-013 and from the Institut universitaire de France.

2 Lacunary hyperbolic groups.

Recall that a geodesic metric space is *δ-hyperbolic* if its triangles are δ-thin, i.e. each side is contained in the δ-neighborhood of the union of the other two. Let G be a group generated by a finite set S.

Then (G,S) is *δ-hyperbolic* if the Cayley graph of G with respect to S is δ-hyperbolic. A subgroup H of G is called *elementary* if it is virtually cyclic.

As we explained in the introduction, A.Y. Ol'shanksiĭ, D. Osin and M. Sapir defined lacunary hyperbolic groups using asymptotic cones [13, Section 3.1]. They also provide a complete characterization of such groups in terms of limit of hyperbolic groups. This is the point of view that we will adopt here.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a finitely generated group (endowed with the word metric for a given finite generating set). Let $\alpha\colon G \to G'$ be a homomorphism. The *injectivity radius* of α is the largest integer $\mathrm{inj}\,(\alpha)$ such that α restricted to every ball of radius $\mathrm{inj}\,(\alpha)$ is one-to-one

We will write $\alpha : (G,S) \to (G',S')$ for a morphism $G \to G'$ sending S to S'.

Theorem 2.2 (Ol'shanskiĭ-Osin-Sapir [13, Theorem 3.3])**.** *A finitely generated group G is lacunary hyperbolic if and only if for some generating set S, one can write (G,S) as the direct limit of a sequence of finitely generated groups (G_k,S_k) and epimorphisms*

$$(G_0,S_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} (G_1,S_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \cdots \to (G_k,S_k) \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} (G_{k+1},S_{k+1}) \to \cdots$$

such that the following holds. For every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we endow G_k with the word metric with respect to S_k, and we denote by $\eta_k\colon (G_k,S_k) \to (G,S)$ the natural epimorphism. Then

(i) for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, (G_k,S_k) is δ_k-hyperbolic.

(ii) $\displaystyle\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{inj}\,(\eta_k)}{\delta_k} = \infty.$

Remark 2.3. In [13], the statement only says that $\mathrm{inj}\,(\alpha_k)/\delta_k$ tends to infinity, but the proof really gives the statement above: the groups G_k constructed have a ball of radius d_k that embeds in G, and are δ_k-hyperbolic with d_k/δ_k diverging to infinity. One could also formally deduce the statement above from the one in [13], but the exercise does not seem worth doing.

3 Structure of the automorphism group

Let G be a group generated by a finite set S. We endow G with the word metric $d_S(\cdot,\cdot)$ with respect to S, and we denote by $|g|_S = d_S(g,1)$ the corresponding word length. For every $g \in G$ we write ι_g for the inner automorphisms that sends x to gxg^{-1}.

Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism of G. We define two norms

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi| &= \max_{s\in S} |\varphi(s)|_S \\ \|\varphi\| &= \inf_{g\in G} \max_{s\in S} d_S\left(\varphi(s)g, g\right) = \inf_{g\in G} |\iota_g \circ \varphi|\,. \end{aligned}$$

These norms obviously depend on the generating set S, but we omit it from the notation as the implicit generating set will be obvious from the context. Note that for all $g \in G$, we have $|\varphi(g)|_S \leqslant |\varphi| \cdot |g|_S$.

We denote by $\mathrm{End}\,(G)$ the semigroup of all endomorphisms of G. Since balls in G are finite, for all $N \geqslant 0$, there are only finitely many endomorphisms φ such that $|\varphi| \leqslant N$. We define the

quotient semi-group $\mathrm{OEnd}(G)$ of *outer endomorphisms* by identifying two endomophisms φ_1, φ_2 if there exists $g \in G$ such that $\varphi_1 = \iota_g \circ \varphi_2$. Given $\Phi \in \mathrm{OEnd}(G)$, we define $\|\Phi\| = \|\varphi\|$ where $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ is any representative of φ. For every $N \geqslant 0$, there are only finitely many $\Phi \in \mathrm{OEnd}(G)$ such that $\|\Phi\| \leqslant N$.

3.1 Lifting morphisms

Let G be a lacunary hyperbolic group. Using Theorem 2.2, we write G as a direct limit of groups

$$(G_0, S_0) \to (G_1, S_1) \to \cdots \to (G_k, S_k) \to (G_{k+1}, S_{k+1}) \to \dots$$

where (G_k, S_k) is δ_k-hyperbolic, and

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{\mathrm{inj}\,(\eta_k)}{\delta_k} = \infty.$$

where we denote by $\pi_k \colon G_0 \to G_k$ and by $\eta_k \colon G_k \to G$ the natural epimorphisms. We endow $\mathrm{End}(G)$, $\mathrm{OEnd}(G)$, $\mathrm{End}(G_k)$, $\mathrm{OEnd}(G_k)$ with the norms $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ associated with the generating sets S and S_k respectively.

Without loss of generality we can assume that G_0 is a free group and S_0 a basis of G_0. In particular, for any endomorphism φ of G, there exists an endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ of G_0 that lifts φ. Moreover we can choose $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ such that $|\tilde{\varphi}_0| = |\varphi|$. Note however that we cannot assume that $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ is an automorphism even if φ is.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and let $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ be any lift with $|\tilde{\varphi}_0| = |\varphi|$. Consider any index k such that $\mathrm{inj}\,(\eta_k)/\delta_k > 10|\varphi|$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ induces an endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_k \in \mathrm{End}(G_k)$ that lifts φ, i.e. such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & G_k & \xrightarrow{\eta_k} & G \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\varphi}_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\varphi}_k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ G_0 & \xrightarrow[\pi_k]{} & G_k & \xrightarrow{\eta_k} & G \end{array}$$

Proof. Since (G_k, S_k) is δ_k-hyperbolic, the kernel of $\pi_k \colon G_0 \to G_k$ is normally generated by a finite subset P_k of G_0 whose elements have length at most $10\delta_k$ [6, Chapitre 5, Proposition 1.1]. We claim that for all $g \in P_k$, $\pi_k(\tilde{\varphi}_0(g)) = 1$. The claim implies that $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ induces on the quotient an endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_k \in \mathrm{End}(G_k)$ which lifts φ because $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ does. Let us prove the claim. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ is a lift of φ, $\tilde{\varphi}_0(g)$ has trivial image in G. This means that $\pi_k(\tilde{\varphi}_0(g))$ belongs to $\ker \eta_k$. Therefore, to prove that it is trivial, it suffices to prove that $|\pi_k(\tilde{\varphi}_0(g))|_{S_k} < \mathrm{inj}\,(\eta_k)$. Now since $|g|_{S_0} \leqslant 10\delta_k$ and π_k is 1-Lipschitz, we can conclude that

$$|\pi_k(\tilde{\varphi}_0(g))|_{S_k} \leqslant |\tilde{\varphi}_0(g)|_{S_0} \leqslant |\tilde{\varphi}_0| \cdot |g|_{S_0} \leqslant 10\,|\tilde{\varphi}_0|\,\delta_k < \mathrm{inj}\,(\eta_k)\,. \qquad \square$$

Definition 3.3. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. We say that φ *coherently lifts from step n* if there exists a lift $\tilde{\varphi}_0 \in \mathrm{End}(G_0)$ of φ such that for every $k \geqslant n$, $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ induces on G_k an endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_k \in \mathrm{End}(G_k)$ (necessarily a lift of φ). We denote by $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$ the set of endomorphisms of G which coherently lift from step n.

Remark 3.4. This definition implicitly depends on the choice of the approximating sequence given by Theorem 2.2. We note for future use that if $\tilde{\varphi}_k \colon G_k \to G_k$ is any lift of $\varphi \colon G \to G$, then $||\tilde{\varphi}_k|| \geqslant ||\varphi||$.

By definition, $(\mathrm{End}_n(G))_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of semi-groups. Note that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, all inner automorphisms of G are in $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$. Hence we can define $\mathrm{OEnd}_n(G) \subset \mathrm{OEnd}(G)$ as the quotient of $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$ modulo inner automorphisms. It follows that $(\mathrm{OEnd}_n(G))_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ is again an increasing sequence of semi-groups.

Corollary 3.5. *For every endomorphism φ of G, there exists an integer n such that φ coherently lifts from step n. In other words,*

$$\mathrm{End}(G) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{End}_n(G) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{OEnd}(G) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{OEnd}_n(G).$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/\delta_k$ tends to infinity, there exits n such that for all $k \geqslant n$, $\mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/\delta_k > 10|\varphi|$ so that Lemma 3.2 applies. □

3.2 Actions on trees

Definition 3.6. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let G be a group generated by a finite set S and acting by isometries on X. We denote by $\lambda(G, X)$ the *minimal displacement* of G on X, defined by

$$\lambda(G, X) = \inf_{x\in X} \max_{s\in S} d(sx, x).$$

Definition 3.7. A group G has the property (FR), if every action of G on an $\mathbf{R}$-tree has a global fixed point.

For the remainder of this section, G is a lacunary hyperbolic group generated by a finite set S and (G_k, S_k) is the sequence given by Theorem 2.2. In particular δ_k stands for the hyperbolicity constant of (G_k, S_k). The next proposition is a classical variation of the Bestvina-Paulin construction (note that in our statement, the group G_{k_i} acting on the space X_i depends on i).

Proposition 3.8 ([14])**.** *Consider a sequence of indices $k_i \to \infty$, and for each $i \geqslant 0$, consider an isometric action of G_{k_i} on a 1-hyperbolic space X_i such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda(G_{k_i}, X_i) = \infty$. Then G acts by isometries without a global fixed point on an $\mathbf{R}$-tree.* □

Corollary 3.9. *Assume that G has property (FR). Then there exists k_0 and a constant C such that for each $k \geqslant k_0$ and each endomorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(G_k)$, one has*

$$\|\varphi\| \leqslant C\delta_k.$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that the corollary does not hold. Then there exists a sequence of endomorphisms $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{End}(G_{k_i})$ where k_i and $\|\varphi_i\|/\delta_{k_i}$ diverge to infinity. For each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, denote by X_{k_i} the Cayley graph of (G_{k_i}, S_{k_i}) endowed with the word metric divided by δ_{k_i}, so that X_{k_i} is 1-hyperbolic. We endow X_{k_i} with a twisted action: for every $g \in G_{k_i}$, for every $x \in X_{k_i}$, $g \cdot x = \varphi_i(g)x$. Then the minimal displacement of this twisted action is given by

$$\lambda(G_{k_i}, X_{k_i}) = \frac{1}{\delta_{k_i}} \inf_{x\in X} \max_{s\in S_{k_i}} d_{S_{k_i}}(\varphi_i(s)x, x) = \frac{\|\varphi_i\|}{\delta_{k_i}} \to \infty.$$

By Proposition 3.8, we get a contradiction with property (FR). □

Corollary 3.10. *Assume G has property* (FR)*, and let k_0, C be as in Corollary 3.9. Then for every $n \geqslant k_0$, for every $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_n(G)$, $\|\varphi\| \leqslant C\delta_n$.*

Proof. Given $n \geqslant k_0$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_n(G)$, consider an endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_n : G_n \to G_n$ lifting φ. By Remark 3.4 and Corollary 3.9, $||\varphi|| \leqslant ||\tilde{\varphi}_n|| \leqslant C\delta_n$. □

Corollary 3.11. *Assume G has property* (FR)*. There exists $A, \kappa \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the cardinality of $\mathrm{OEnd}_n(G)$ satisfies*

$$\sharp\,\mathrm{OEnd}_n(G) \leqslant Ae^{\kappa\delta_n}.$$

In particular, the semi-group $\mathrm{OEnd}(G)$ and the group $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ are locally finite.

Proof. For any $N \geqslant 0$, there are at most $(2\sharp S+1)^{N\sharp S}$ endomorphisms $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, such that $|\varphi| \leqslant N$. In particular, there are at most $(2\sharp S+1)^{N\sharp S}$ outer endomorphisms $\Phi \in \mathrm{OEnd}(G)$, such that $\|\Phi\| \leqslant N$. The first statement is then a consequence of Corollary 3.10. Since $\mathrm{End}(G)$ is an increasing union of the finite semigroups $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$, it is locally finite. Similarly, $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ is locally finite as it is an increasing union of the finite groups $\mathrm{Out}(G) \cap \mathrm{OEnd}_n(G)$. □

3.3 Spectrum of the automorphism group

The goal of this section is to study the *spectrum*

$$\Lambda = \{\|\Phi\| \mid \Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(G)\}$$

of norms of outer automorphisms of G. We prove the following proposition telling us that if the hyperbolic groups G_k converge fast enough to G, then there are arbitrarily large gaps in Λ.

Proposition 3.12. *Assume G has property* (FR) *and*

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)}{\delta_k^2} = \infty.$$

There exists $A, B > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for every $k \geqslant k_0$, $\Lambda \cap (A\delta_k, B\,\mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/\delta_k)$ is empty.

Remark 3.13. If $\mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/\delta_k^2$ is bounded, then the conclusion of the proposition is still true, but vacuous.

Proof. Let C, k_0 be given by Corollary 3.9. Let $k \geqslant k_0$. Assume that $\|\varphi\| < \mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/10\delta_k$. According to Lemma 3.2 φ lifts as an endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ of G_k. Hence by Corollary 3.9 we get $\|\varphi\| \leqslant \|\tilde{\varphi}_k\| \leqslant C\delta_k$. It follows that $\Lambda \cap (C\delta_k, \mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/10\delta_k) = \emptyset$. The assumption just guarantees that $(C\delta_k, \mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)/10\delta_k)$ is non-empty for k large enough. □

Corollary 3.14. *Assume G has property* (FR) *and*

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{inj}(\eta_k)}{\delta_k^3} = \infty.$$

Then there exists $A > 0$ such that for all k large enough, the set

$$U_k = \left\{\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(G) \;\middle|\; \max\{\|\Phi\|, \left\|\Phi^{-1}\right\|\} \leqslant A\delta_k\right\}$$

is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}(G)$.

Proof. Let $A, B > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ be the constants given by Proposition 3.12. Up to replacing k_0 by a larger integer we can assume that for every $k \geqslant k_0$, $B \operatorname{inj}(\eta_k)/\delta_k > A^2\delta_k^2$. Consider $k \geqslant k_0$ and $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in U_k$, and let us prove that $\Phi_1\Phi_2 \in U_k$. It follows from our choice of k_0 that

$$\|\Phi_1\Phi_2\| \leqslant \|\Phi_1\|\,\|\Phi_2\| \leqslant A^2\delta_k^2 < B\frac{\operatorname{inj}(\eta_k)}{\delta_k}.$$

According to Proposition 3.12, $\|\Phi_1\Phi_2\| \leqslant A\delta_k$, hence $\Phi_1\Phi_2$ belongs to U_k. □

4 Hopf and co-Hopf property

In this section we investigate the Hopf and co-Hopf property for lacunary hyperbolic groups.

Definition 4.1. A group G is *Hopfian* (respectively *co-Hopfian*) if every endomorphism of G which is surjective (respectively injective) is an automorphism of G.

The Hopf property for torsion-free hyperbolic groups was first proved by Z. Sela [16]. This was extended to hyperbolic groups with torsion by C. Reinfeldt and R. Weidmann [15]

Theorem 4.2 (Sela [16, Theorem 3.3], Reinfeldt–Weidmann [15, Corollary 6.9])**.** *Every hyperbolic group is Hopfian.*

Based on this result, we prove the following statement.

Theorem 4.3. *Every lacunary hyperbolic group is Hopfian.*

Proof. Let G be a lacunary hyperbolic group. Let

$$(G_0, S_0) \to (G_1, S_1) \to \cdots \to (G_k, S_k) \to \dots$$

be the sequence of groups provided by Theorem 2.2. As above, we assume that G_0 is a free group $\mathbf{F}_r$ with basis $S_0 = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$. Let φ be a surjective endomorphism of G. By Corollary 3.5, $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_n(G)$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, so there exists a lift $\tilde{\varphi}_0 \in \operatorname{End}(G_0)$ of φ that induces lifts $\tilde{\varphi}_k \in \operatorname{End}(G_k)$ for all $k \geqslant n$.

We claim that $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ is surjective for all k large enough. Indeed, φ being surjective, each element $s \in S$ can be written as a word on the elements of $\varphi(S)$. This fact translates in G_0 into the fact that for each $i \leqslant r$, there is a word $w_i(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbf{F}_r$ such that the element $s_i^{-1} w_i(\tilde{\varphi}_0(s_1), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_0(s_r)) \in \mathbf{F}_r$ lies in the kernel of $\eta_0 \colon \mathbf{F}_r \to G$. As G is the direct limit of (G_k), these elements have trivial image in G_k for k large enough. This implies that $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ is onto.

Since hyperbolic groups are Hopfian, $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ is an isomorphism for all k large enough. To prove that φ is one-to-one, consider $g \in \ker\varphi$, $g_0 \in G_0$ a preimage of g, and $g_k = \pi_k(g_0)$ its image in G_k. Since $\varphi(g) = 1$, $\tilde{\varphi}_0(g_0) \in \ker\eta_0$. However G is the direct limit of (G_k), thus $\tilde{\varphi}_k(g_k) = 1$ for all k large enough. The maps $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ being ultimately isomorphisms, we get that $g_k = 1$ for k large enough, hence $g = 1$. □

The following result gives examples of groups that are Hopfian, but not equationally Noetherian.

Theorem 4.4 (Champetier [4, Théorème 1.3], Osin [17])**.** *There exists a torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic group that is not equationally Noetherian.*

Proof. Fix $H_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} H_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} H_2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots$ any sequence of non-injective epimorphisms between torsion-free hyperbolic groups. We first claim that there exists a lacunary hyperbolic group G such that for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and all finite set $F \subset H_i$ there exists a morphism $\varphi_{i,F} : H_i \to G$ whose restriction to F is one-to-one. Choose $(i_n, F_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ an enumeration of all pairs (i, F) where F is a finite subset of H_i. We construct by induction a sequence of torsion-free hyperbolic groups (G_n, S_n) as in Theorem 2.2 such that for all $k \leqslant n$, there exists a morphism $H_{i_k} \to G_n$ whose restriction to F_k is injective. We start with $G_0 = H_{i_0}$ and S_0 a finite generating set of G_0.

Assume now that (G_n, S_n) is constructed. Let $B \subset G_n$ be a ball whose radius is sufficiently large compared to the hyperbolicity constant of (G_n, S_n) and containing for all $k \leqslant n$ the image of F_k by the morphism $H_{i_k} \to G_n$. Applying [4, Corollaire 5.21], we can find a common quotient G_{n+1} of G_n and $H_{i_{n+1}}$ such that the projections $G_n \twoheadrightarrow G_{n+1}$ and $H_{i_{n+1}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{n+1}$ are injective on B and F_{n+1} respectively. We take for S_{n+1} the image of S_n in G_{n+1}. Clearly, (G_{n+1}, S_{n+1}) satisfies our requirement. The direct limit G of (G_n) is a torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic group satisfying our claim.

Let us check that G is not equationally Noetherian. For all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, consider $r_i \in \ker p_i \setminus \{1\}$. By construction of G, there exists $h_i : H_i \to G$ which does not kill r_i. In particular h_i does not factor through p_i. Presenting $H_i = \langle X | R_i \rangle$ with a finite set of relators $R_i \subset R_{i+1}$ so that p_i is induced by the identity on X, the ascending union of all R_i yields a system of equations showing that G is not equationally Noetherian. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a lacunary hyperbolic group. If G has property* (FR) *then G is co-Hopfian.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that G is infinite and not virtually cyclic. Otherwise it would act on a line, in contradiction with our hypothesis. Let

$$(\mathbf{F}_r, S_0) = (G_0, S_0) \to (G_1, S_1) \to \cdots \to (G_k, S_k) \to \dots$$

be a sequence of groups provided by Theorem 2.2. Let φ be a monomorphism of G. By Corollary 3.5, there exists n such that $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_n(G)$. Since $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$ is a semi-group, every non-negative power of φ belongs to $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$. It follows from Corollary 3.10 that the norms $\|\varphi^m\|$ are bounded. Since the set of outer endomorphisms of G with bounded norm is finite, there exist $m_1 > m_2$ and $a \in G$ such that

$$\varphi^{m_1} = \iota_a \circ \varphi^{m_2}. \tag{1}$$

By definition of $\mathrm{End}_n(G)$, there is a lift $\tilde{\varphi}_0 \in \mathrm{End}(G_0)$ of φ that induces lifts $\tilde{\varphi}_k \in \mathrm{End}(G_k)$ for all $k \geqslant n$. Note that $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ is not necessarily one-to-one. Let $a_0 \in G_0$ be a preimage of a, and $a_k = \pi_k(a_0)$ its image in G_k. Since G is finitely generated, $\tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_1} = \iota_{a_k} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2}$ for all k larger than some $n' \geqslant n$.

Fix $k \geqslant n'$ and $p \in \mathbf{N}$. By composing the previous equality on the right and on the left by $\tilde{\varphi}_k^p$ we get

$$\iota_{\tilde{\varphi}_k^p(a_k)} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2+p} = \tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_1+p} = \iota_{a_k} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2+p}.$$

It tells us that the element $u_{k,p} := a_k^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_k^p(a_k)$ belongs to the centralizer of $\tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2+p}(G_k)$ which we denote by $Z_k(p)$.

We claim that $Z_k(p)$ is finite. Since G_k is hyperbolic, it is sufficient to prove that $\tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2+p}(G_k)$ is non elementary. Assume on the contrary that $\tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2+p}(G_k)$ is virtually cyclic. It follows that $\varphi^{m_2+p}(G) = \eta_k \circ \tilde{\varphi}_k^{m_2+p}(G_k)$ in G is virtually cyclic as well. Since φ is one-to-one, G is virtually cyclic, a contradiction. Hence $(Z_k(p))_{p\in\mathbf{N}}$ is an ascending sequence of finite subgroups of G_k. The

group G_k being hyperbolic, their union is finite so there exists $p_1 > p_2$ such that $u_{k,p_1} = u_{k,p_2}$, i.e. $\tilde{\varphi}_k^{p_1}(a_k) = \tilde{\varphi}_k^{p_2}(a_k)$. Pushing this equality in G, we get $\varphi^{p_1}(a) = \varphi^{p_2}(a)$. However φ is one-to-one, thus $a = \varphi^r(a)$ with $r = p_1 - p_2$. Hence for every $q \in \mathbf{N}^*$, $a = \varphi^{qr}(a)$. In particular there exists $b \in G$ such that $a = \varphi^{m_2}(b)$. Consequently (1) becomes

$$\varphi^{m_1} = \iota_a \circ \varphi^{m_2} = \iota_{\varphi^{m_2}(b)} \circ \varphi^{m_2} = \varphi^{m_2} \circ \iota_b.$$

Since φ is one-to-one we get $\varphi^{m_1 - m_2} = \iota_b$, hence φ is onto. □

5 Embedding locally finite groups

In this section we provide examples of lacunary hyperbolic groups, which are not hyperbolic, and illustrate the results of this paper. The main goal is to prove the following theorem, showing that every countable, locally finite group can be embedded in the outer automorphism group of a lacunary hyperbolic group.

Theorem 5.1. *Let A be a countable, locally finite group, and G be a non-elementary hyperbolic group.*

Then there exists a quotient Q of G which is lacunary hyperbolic with property (F$\mathbb{R}$) *such that* $\mathrm{Out}(Q)$ *is locally finite and contains a subgroup isomorphic to A.*

The proof of the theorem is based on the following result that will serve as the induction step during the construction. Following [12], given a subgroup G of a group H, we define the subgroup $E_H(G) \subset H$ as the set of elements of H whose orbit under conjugation by G is finite. Equivalently, $h \in E_H(G)$ if h commutes with a finite index subgroup of G. We note that $E_H(G) \subset E_H(G')$ for $G' \subset G$, with equality if $[G : G'] < \infty$. When G is a non-elementary subgroup of a hyperbolic group H, then $E_H(G)$ is the unique maximal finite subgroup of H normalized by G [12, Proposition 1].

Proposition 5.2. *Consider a non-elementary hyperbolic group G, a finite group A, and a homomorphism $\varphi\colon A \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that*

(a) φ induces an embedding of A into $\mathrm{Out}(G)$*;*

(b) $E_{G \rtimes_\varphi A}(G) = \{1\}$.

Consider an embedding $j\colon A \to B$ into a finite group B.

Then for every $r \geqslant 0$, there exists a non-elementary hyperbolic quotient $\bar{G}$ of G and a homomorphism $\psi\colon B \to \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{G})$ with the following properties.

(i) The injectivity radius of the canonical projection $G \to \bar{G}$ is at least r.

(ii) For every $a \in A$, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \bar{G} \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi(a)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi \circ j(a)} \\ G & \longrightarrow & \bar{G} \end{array}$$

(iii) The map ψ induces an embedding of B into $\mathrm{Out}(\bar{G})$.

(iv) $E_{\bar{G}\rtimes_\psi B}(\bar{G}) = \{1\}$.

Let us first explain how to deduce Theorem 5.1 from this proposition.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We are going to construct our lacunary hyperbolic group Q as a limit of a sequence of hyperbolic groups, $G_0 \twoheadrightarrow G_1 \twoheadrightarrow \dots$. We choose for G_0 a non-elementary hyperbolic group that is a quotient of G, having property (FR) and with $E_{G_0}(G_0) = \{1\}$. Since property (FR) is stable under taking quotients, this will ensure that Q has property (FR), and $\mathrm{Out}(Q)$ is locally finite (Corollary 3.11). Note that there exists a hyperbolic group Γ with property (FR): one can take a triangle group $\Gamma = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^3 = (xy)^7 \rangle$ by Serre's Lemma [5, Chapter 4, Lemma 2.1], or a hyperbolic group with Kazhdan's property (T) [1]. Then consider G_0', a non-elementary hyperbolic group that is common quotient of Γ and G. This can be achieved by applying [12, Theorem 2] to the free product $(G/F) * (\Gamma/\Lambda)$ where F and Λ stand for the maximal finite normal subgroup of G and Γ respectivley; see also [4, Corollaire 5.21]. To ensure that $E_{G_0}(G_0) = \{1\}$, take $G_0 = G_0'/F_0'$ where F_0' stands for the maximal normal finite subgroup of G_0'.

The group A being countable, we can write A as the union of an increasing sequence of finite groups $(A_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$. Without loss of generality, take $A_0 = \{1\}$. For every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote by j_k the natural embedding $j_k \colon A_k \to A_{k+1}$. We choose a finite generating set S for G, and we will denote by S_k its image in G_k.

The construction. Since A_0 is the trivial group, we take for φ_0 the trivial morphism, hence (G_0, A_0, φ_0) obviously satisfies the assumptions (a)-(b) of Proposition 5.2.

For the induction step, assume that (G_k, A_k, φ_k) has been constructed and satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 5.2. Let δ_k be the hyperbolicity constant of G_k with respect to S_k. If $k \neq 0$, we write r_{k-1} for the injectivity radius of $G_{k-1} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$, otherwise we simply let $r_{k-1} = 0$. Apply Proposition 5.2 to the embedding $j_k \colon A_k \to A_{k+1}$, with $r = \max\{r_{k-1}, 10^k\delta_k\}$. This constructs a quotient G_{k+1} of G_k, with a morphism $\varphi_{k+1} : A_{k+1} \to \mathrm{Aut}(G_{k+1})$. Since G_{k+1} is a non-elementary hyperbolic group, Assertions (iii),(iv) of the proposition show that $(G_{k+1}, A_{k+1}, \varphi_{k+1})$ satisfies the assumptions (a)-(b) of Proposition 5.2.

Properties of the limit group. We define Q as the direct limit of the groups G_k. Since G_0 has property (FR), so does Q, thus $\mathrm{Out}(Q)$ is locally finite. By construction the projection $\pi_k \colon G_k \twoheadrightarrow G_{k+1}$ is injective on the ball of radius r_k and (r_k) is a non-decreasing sequence. Hence the natural morphism $G_k \twoheadrightarrow G$ is injective on the ball of radius r_k. On the other hand r_k/δ_k tends to infinity, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that Q is a lacunary hyperbolic group.

Let us prove now that A embeds into $\mathrm{Out}(G)$. By construction, for each k, we have a morphism $\varphi_k : A_k \to \mathrm{Aut}(G_k)$. Fix $a \in A_{k_0}$, and for $k \geqslant k_0$, denote by $\alpha_k = \varphi_k(a)$ the corresponding automorphism of G_k. The commutative diagram (ii) of Proposition 5.2 shows that $\alpha_{k+1} \circ \pi_k = \pi_k \circ \alpha_k$. Hence the automorphisms α_k naturally define an automorphism of Q which we denote by $\varphi(a)$. It is easy to check that the map $\varphi \colon A \to \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ obtained in this way is a homomorphism. There remains to prove that for each $a \in A \setminus \{1\}$, $\varphi(a)$ is not inner. Assume on the contrary that $\varphi(a) = \iota_g$ for some $g \in Q$, and let $g_0 \in G_0$ be a preimage of g. Denote by g_k the image of g_0 in G_k, and $\alpha_k = \varphi_k(a)$ as above. Then for all $x_0 \in G_0$, denoting by x_k its image in G_k, one has $\alpha_k(x_k) = \iota_{g_k}(x_k)$ for all k large enough. In particular, there exists k such that $\alpha_k(s) = \iota_{g_k}(s)$ for

all s in the finite generating set S_k, so $\varphi_k(a)$ is an inner automorphism of G_k. Since φ_k induces an embedding of A_k into $\mathrm{Out}(G_k)$, we get $a = 1$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 5.2 is based on the following variation of a well-known result of A.Y. Ol'shanskiĭ [12, Theorem 2], see also [4].

Theorem 5.3. *Let H be a hyperbolic group and $G_1, \dots, G_k$ some non-elementary finitely generated subgroups of H. Assume that $E_H(G_i)$ is trivial for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Let $N \triangleleft H$ be a normal subgroup containing all G_i's. For every finite subset P of H there exists a quotient $\pi : H \twoheadrightarrow \bar{H}$ with the following properties.*

(i) $\ker \pi \subset N$

(ii) *$\bar{H}$ is a hyperbolic group.*

(iii) *The projection π restricted to P is one-to-one.*

(iv) *$\pi(G_1) = \pi(G_2) = \cdots = \pi(G_k)$ and this group is a non-elementary subgroup of $\bar{H}$.*

(v) *For each finite subgroup $\bar{F} < \bar{H}$ there exists a finite subgroup $F < H$ such that π maps F isomorphically onto $\bar{F}$.*

Proof. If $N = H$ and $G_k = H$, Assertions (i)–(iv) as well as (v) for finite cyclic groups are formal consequences of [12, Theorem 2]. In general, Theorem 5.3 is not a formal consequence of [12, Theorem 2] but can be proved in exactly the same way, with minor modifications. We explain here the required adjustments.

In the statement of [12, Theorem 2], there is no normal subgroup N, and the statement says that one can ensure that $\pi(G_i) = \bar{H}$. The proof goes as follows. One adjoins to H some relations of the form $s_\ell = w_{\ell,j}(g_{j,1}, \dots, g_{j,k_j})$ where $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ is a generating set of H, $S_j = \{g_{j,1}, \dots, g_{j,k_j}\}$ is a generating set of G_j, and $w_{\ell,j}$ a word over the alphabet S_j (see (16) p. 386 in [12] for the explicit formula for $w_{\ell,j}$, where X_{i0} in [12] corresponds to the generator s_ℓ in our setting, and $X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ik}$ and W_i are in our group G_i as explained p. 403 of [12]). The hypothesis $E_H(G_i) = E_H(H) = \{1\}$ is used to prove that this set of relations satisfies a small cancellation assumption (this is [12, Lemma 4.2] where a weaker hypothesis is made). On the other hand, the fact that S generates H is only used to ensure that $\pi(G_i) = \bar{H}$.

In our setting, we adjoin to H some relations of the form $g_{i,\ell} = w_{i,\ell,j}(g_{j,1}, \dots, g_{j,k_j})$. Our assumption $E_H(G_i) = \{1\}$ guarantees that $w_{i,\ell,j}$ can be chosen in such a way that this set of relations satisfies a small cancellation condition [12, Lemma 4.2]. The quotient is hyperbolic by [12, Lemma 6.7]. Such relations are obviously in N and ensure that $\pi(G_i) = \pi(G_j)$.

Finally, in [12, Theorem 2], Assertion (v) is claimed only for finite cyclic groups. The argument actually works for all finite groups. One can also refer to [7, Proposition 6.12] where this assertion is proved for small cancellation quotients of hyperbolic groups as soon as the adjoint relations are not proper powers which is the case in our setting. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2. If j is surjective, then we can take $\bar{G} = G$ and $\psi = \varphi \circ j^{-1}$. Hence we may assume that $j(A)$ is a proper subgroup of B. For simplicity we will omit the map j and assume that A is a subgroup of B.

To apply Theorem 5.3 above, consider the group $H = (G \rtimes_\varphi A) *_A B$. Since $G \rtimes_\varphi A$ contains G with finite index, it is hyperbolic, and so is H by [2]. Let N be the normal subgroup of H

generated by G. We take for G_i the family of conjugates of G by B. Clearly, the groups G_i are non-elementary. Let us check that $E_H(G) = \{1\}$. This will imply in particular that $E_H(G_i) = \{1\}$ for all i. By definition, some finite index subgroup $G' < G$ commutes with $E_H(G)$. Since G' fixes a unique point in the Bass-Serre tree of the amalgamated product defining H, $E_H(G)$ has to fix this point. So $E_H(G)$ is a subgroup of $G \rtimes_\varphi A$, and $E_H(G) = E_{G\rtimes_\varphi A}(G)$, which is trivial by assumption.

Let us define the set P. Let S be a generating set of G. Let P_0 be the ball of radius r in G (with respect to the word metric relative to S). Let $F_1, \dots, F_k$ be representatives of the conjugacy classes of all finite subgroups of H. For every i we write P_i for the following finite set

$$P_i = \left\{ sus^{-1}v \;\middle|\; s \in S,\ u, v \in F_i \right\}$$

We choose for P the union $P = P_0 \cup P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_k$.

We now apply Theorem 5.3 and get a hyperbolic quotient $\pi : H \to \bar{H}$ in which all B-conjugates of G have the same image. Define $\bar{G}$ to be the image of G in $\bar{H}$. Since $\bar{G}$ has finite index in $\bar{H}$, it is hyperbolic. Since π is injective in restriction to P_0, the injectivity radius of the projection $G \to \bar{G}$ is at least r. Since $\bar{G}$ is normalized by the image of B, it is a normal subgroup of $\bar{H}$. Let $\psi\colon B \to \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{G})$ the morphism corresponding to the action of B on $\bar{G}$ by conjugation. Then for each $a \in A$, the diagram in Assertion (ii) is clearly commutative. Recall that the kernel of π is contained in N (the normal subgroup of H generated by G). Note also that B embeds in $H/N \simeq \bar{H}/\bar{G}$. In particular, B embeds in $\bar{H}$ and $B \cap \bar{G} = \{1\}$, which implies that $\bar{H}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{G} \rtimes_\psi B$.

Let us check that $E_{\bar{H}}(\bar{G}) = \{1\}$. Since $\bar{G}$ is non-elementary, $E_{\bar{H}}(\bar{G})$ is a finite subgroup of $\bar{H}$. By Theorem 5.3 (v), there exists a finite group F that maps isomorphically onto $E_{\bar{H}}(\bar{G})$ under π. Hence there exist $h \in H$ together with an index i such that $F = h^{-1}F_i h$. Since $\bar{G}$ is normal in $\bar{H}$ we observe that

$$\pi\left(F_i\right) = \pi(h) E_{\bar{H}}\left(\bar{G}\right) \pi(h)^{-1} = E_{\bar{H}}\left(\pi(h)\bar{G}\pi(h)^{-1}\right) = E_{\bar{H}}\left(\bar{G}\right)$$

Let $u \in F_i$ and $s \in S$. As we recalled before $E_{\bar{H}}(\bar{G})$ is normalized by $\bar{G}$. Hence there exists $v \in F_i$ such that $\pi(sus^{-1}) = \pi(v)$. It follows that $sus^{-1}v^{-1}$ is an element of P_i in the kernel of π, thus $sus^{-1} = v$. Consequently F_i is a finite subgroup of H normalized by G. Our assumption implies that F_i is trivial, and so is $E_{\bar{H}}(\bar{G})$.

There remains to check that B embeds in $\mathrm{Out}(\bar{G})$. Let $b \in B$ such that $\psi(b)$ is an inner automorphism. There exists $g \in G$ such that $\pi(bg)$ centralizes $\bar{G}$. In particular, $\pi(bg)$ belongs to $E_{\bar{H}}(\bar{G})$ which is trivial, so $bg \in \ker \pi$. Recall that $\ker \pi$ and G are contained in N, hence so is b. Since B embeds in H/N, b has to be trivial. □

Remark 5.4. In the previous construction we have some freedom to choose at each step the injectivity radius of the map $G_k \to G_{k+1}$. The same procedure can be used to exhibit a lacunary group such that

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{r_k}{\delta_k^3} = \infty.$$

In particular this group will satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.14.

Remark 5.5. Elaborating on the ideas of Bumagin and Wise [3] one could probably modify this construction and exhibit a lacunary hyperbolic group Q such that $A = \mathrm{Out}(Q)$.

References

[1] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, and A. Valette. *Kazhdan's property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[2] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn. A combination theorem for negatively curved groups. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 35(1):85–101, 1992.

[3] I. Bumagin and D. T. Wise. Every group is an outer automorphism group of a finitely generated group. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 200(1-2):137–147, 2005.

[4] C. Champetier. L'espace des groupes de type fini. *Topology. An International Journal of Mathematics*, 39(4):657–680, 2000.

[5] I. Chiswell. *Introduction to Λ-trees*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

[6] M. Coornaert, T. Delzant, and A. Papadopoulos. *Géométrie et théorie des groupes*, volume 1441 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[7] R. Coulon. On the geometry of Burnside quotients of torsion free hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 24(3):251–345, 2014.

[8] M. Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques*, (53):53–73, 1981.

[9] M. Gromov. Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, pages 1–295. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[10] S. V. Ivanov and A. M. Storozhev. Non-Hopfian relatively free groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 114(1):209–228, 2005.

[11] C. Moioli. *Graphs of groups and co-hopfian groups*. PhD thesis, Université Paul Sabatier - Toulouse III, Dec. 2013. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00961301

[12] A. Y. Ol'shanskii. On residualing homomorphisms and G-subgroups of hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 3(4):365–409, 1993.

[13] A. Y. Ol'shanskii, M. V. Sapir, and D. V. Osin. Lacunary hyperbolic groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 13(4):2051–2140, 2009.

[14] F. Paulin. Outer automorphisms of hyperbolic groups and small actions on R-trees. In *Arboreal group theory (Berkeley, CA, 1988)*, pages 331–343. Springer, New York, New York, NY, 1991.

[15] C. Reinfeldt and R. Weidmann. Makanin-Razborov diagrams for hyperbolic groups. 2014 http://www.math.uni-kiel.de/algebra/de/weidmann/research

[16] Z. Sela. Endomorphisms of hyperbolic groups. I. The Hopf property. *Topology. An International Journal of Mathematics*, 38(2):301–321, 1999.

[17] H. Wilton. Is there a non-Hopfian lacunary hyperbolic group?, Sept. 2011. http://mathoverflow.net/questions/75784